

### REMARKS

Claims 9 –11, 15 and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112. In response, the rejected language has been deleted from the claims per this amendment.

Claims 15 and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Riemenschneider, III (4,535,951). Claims 9-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Riemenschneider, III (4,535,951) in view of Wensman (5,520,360). In response, Applicant has amended the claims to read with further limitations such as that the spool is on the straight linear pole; the grip is inline with the spool; and the grip and the spool are on the straight linear pole.

No new matter has been entered.

Applicant believes that the claims are now allowable as further limitations have now been added to clearly distinguish the present invention, as claimed, from the reference(s).

An RCE has been filed with this amendment and response.

A petition for any and all extensions of time is hereby made and authorization to charge deposit account 500356 is hereby given for all fees due and owing.

Respectfully submitted,

/mlg/

Michael L. Greenberg, Esq.

Reg. No. 47,312